John Updike, Poet's Notes: "Coming back to the land, coming back to oneself."
The poem "I went into the Mountains..." by Edward Abbey, does not appear on the current page. It seems to be from a different section of the document. Please check the next page or provide the correct page number to continue reading.
The narrator's sense of his relationship to America, though fraught and ambiguous like the syntax of these lines, is one which he seeks to clarify through his relationship to the wood and trees of Oregon. If his alienation seems to frame a challenge to the complacent America portrayed in the woods and trees of Oregon, his alienation seems to frame a challenge to the product of imagery traditionally thought of as 'deeply' American. Thus, although the poet specifically recalls the fifties, itself a period fraught by questions of Americanness, its nostalgia is a complex site that brings to gether a series of typically American readings of the land as a workplace. It is in this relationship between wood, land, and identity that the poem is able to play with variables of American personae. In the space of these few lines, and because of their indeterminacy of reference, we encounter the Beat outside of the office [the apostrophe to America's "stupidity" leads to a declaration of allegiance that sounds strikingly similar to the Allen Ginsberg of *Howl and Other Poems*], a "joy and roughness," a "joy and roughness," which recalls Walt Whitman as "one of the roughs," and a romanticizing of work in America's Northwest, which recalls a mythology of rugged frontiersmen who see the land as a space for the testing of individual and national identities. The variety and complexity of such personae mean that the poem does not express Snyder's "unbecoming egotism" as David A. Carpenter claims (429), nor does it fully manage to accomplish, as Burt Almon believes, the "real work" of turning America back into "Turtle Island."
concerns, my point is that the anxiousness American culture displays in the 19th century was deeply embedded in the nation's history and culture. Whereas Buell argues that the marketplace of the 19th-century literary world was a space for the production and consumption of American culture, I believe that literature was not just a reflection of society but also a shaping force. Literature and culture were intertwined, and the anxieties about the future of American culture and society were deeply connected.

In the real world, I can come back to myself in the shadow of phils. Under the towel, Old stars.

To the real work, to come back to oneself. Myself.

Mystics of the New World as Eden, as God's plantation, as a virgin land.
Looking at the text, it is clear that this page contains a discussion on the importance of landscape in poetry. The writer mentions how landscape work in a poem depends upon visual experience, and that the reader's engagement with the poem is influenced by their own visual experience of the landscape. The writer also discusses the relationship between the poet's experience of the landscape and the reader's experience of the poem. The passage suggests that the poet's vision of the landscape is not merely descriptive but also evocative, encouraging the reader to engage with the poem on a deeper level.
Looking down for miles
Drinking cold mountain beer in a cup
A few friends, but they are in shine,
I cannot remember things I once read

Swarms of new flies,
Across rocks and meadows
Pitch gloom on the horizon
Three days back, after the days rain
Down valley a smoke haze

Come back to oneself
In one's levels, I cannot remember things I once read. A few friends, but they are
not, by the land. In the moment of its realization in the poem, the narrative's
affective recollection that school and identity are continually enjoyed by
works, such an act does not signal a coming back to oneself so much as an
although the art of reading is the poem's controlling trope, its real
word and skills is to absorb their grip through the work of reading
and the real, then, both hows of attention upon the gap between text and
assets; the land must be worked upon. It must be read, says poetry's
relationship is one of inseparable mediation. Always, the poem's
The poem suggests, therefore, that an appreductively visionary capacity
landscape through the hills and "Tm
then we see the landscape through the poem just as the narrator sees the
aesthetically apparent, clear vision of the landscape is a matter of mediation
will a medium through which the landscape cannot be read. Beyond the art-
"threshold high still" are "clear as the sky on any scan. It is
downhill Морокаин, "threshold high still" and "clear as the sky on any scan. It is
poems draw image looks down at the environment surrounding the
poems and meadows are seen through swarms of flies; important, the
landscape is always imaged through a gaze of reading. The valley is seen through these; rocks
recede to the work of the poem itself, whereby the landscape is always
inseparably, an act of mediation. The "fr-ones" are not seen directly
imaged through the medium of flowing pitch. Thus, in turn, after the
but imaged through the medium of flowing pitch. Thus, in turn, after the
image that makes up an act of reading, as if it has not simply at the physical center of the stanza. It balances—
 announce—there is not simply at the physical center of the stanza. It balances—
This is also evident in the line "This is the home of the fr-ones. "The home
"warrants of new life. Our work of reading the poem is thus analogous

Rick Slay

185
which suggests about America's ideological foundation, the colonial
very land. Where崇高ness enables America to find this is the way in
spiritual appropriation of the land that units his gaze away from here
where it is to be found in a transfiguration of virility extended to include a
Whereas Singer's pockets of work marks a troubled exchange between
Boston Common becomes an uncontested blank page, "here ground"
but a transfiguration of virility extended to include a transfiguration of the land
self and other, inner and outer, in which Dean has noted (39), the
ship to the ground is desisters one of unmediated exchange between
If Emerson's vision sees "most American" it is because the relation-

land is workplace.

ideal as real. This is clearly in stark contrast to Singer's pockets of the
sins "I look" works through an obliteration of any sense of the land
ies of the report, (44) Howard, the virility moment, for example,
the minor character, by which Charles Osgood character-
mean "ga". If this seems to suggest the nation and of the literal
cause, paradoxically, it witnesses an Emersonian obliteration of "all
accounts that singularly speaks, poetry, for example, in the post-Poundian
nary only of the self may seem initially to operate similarly in Singer's poetry.
that here the "principle of schoolhood..." disappears so at the very moment
Can you write points to the paradox at the heart of this passage, nothing

Being circular through me... (150+18)
precedent各地的, I am nothing; I see all, the curiums of the universe

hefed into nihility space—all mean uniform vanishes. I become a name.

standing on the bare ground—my headPART by the bridge all and up-

for a moment on Boston Common, the self becomes all-seen.

I will ever be written (180) —from Burrough's essay "Nouns" (183)
the passage—according to Harold Bloom, the most American passage
in our account of self and universe, Emerson's words express in
human and the natural is seen as visionary, unmediated, and a transpar-
A more useful model for reading Snyder's poetry is Henry David Thoreau's Walden (1854), a essay moreover, that Snyder read during his Thoreau's lifetime. Thoreau's vision of the land in an attempt to change the way of life. Thoreau's vision of the land is an attempt to change the world of literature, of American, and Romertic, experience so encased in their work, then, seems to American romantic, experience so encased in their work, then, seems to...
The poem is thus rooted by a sense of the gap between word and world. The poem is thus
offered an apparently unmarked exception of the landscape, I see-
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The morning star is not a star
 polynomial or an opaque word of small expanse
 and highledge, or
 less of linguistic exchange, wherein words and books become
 -momentous.

The difficulty of setting oneself and not misplacing reality becomes insta-
 that is also a piece of the mind, where can hard rock waves, the
 between the literal and the metaphorical. These, in this poetic landscape
 jest to the sharpness of a poetic language that strives to negotiate
 of representing the land in/as a poem, just where "here" may be sub-
 The difficulty of these lines lies in their dramatization of the problematics

No one loves rocks, yet we are here.

Which seas is empty soon.
Has no meaning but that
A clear accurate mind

Other

visionary vision of exchange whereby the self and the land read each
the natural. The poem proceeds this relationship as part of a nuanced and
product of a multiplication of the relationship between the human and
this process are less the result of an appreciation of reality than the
of scholarship and the awareness of mind that seems to be produced by
processes of understanding toward a sense of grounded reality, but the clarity
junk to be part of the process described by Thoreau of setting, "rocks in
As the poem continues, it continues such a dropping away of human

Gone in the dry air.
Like a small creek of a high ledge
Words and books
This bubble of a heart.
Even the heavy pressur scams to fall
Drops away, hard rock waves
All the junk that goes with being human

Text that sucks to represent it:
haunted by loss, by the way in which the actual land is obliterated by the
that which is not a text. Thus the description of the land as a text, as

such an inscription of the land becomes the desire to turn the land into

(+) Emerald by name, in their own prose.
All America hangs on a hook
a force, wrecked or raised
someone killed and some one built a house.

San Francisco 24+.

in the land is mythicized as a text of loss:

for the preconditions of American culture as a whole, because enough
how few (3). The work of forgetting thus becomes significant in an image
The ancient forests of China logged / and the hills shipped into the whirl
ion of the describable objects of working the land in the ancient East:
ion of America as the promised land; is followed in the poem by a descent-
of the woods around San Francisco 24+.

San Francisco 24+

in the land is to be a promised land, then its original inhabitant-

so people: if the land is to be a promised land, then its original inhabitant-

in whose name the work of forgetting is thus put into the poem by a descent-

by whose name the work of forgetting is thus put into the poem by a descent-

in whose name the work of forgetting is thus put into the poem by a descent-

The first of these texts, a projection from Exodus 13:1, seems here to

The accounts of how exploration of the land leads to cultural antimythicization of the American culture ideal as distinctive because it is named by two other
American culturesideal as distinctive because it is named by two other
American culturesideal as distinctive because it is named by two other
American culturesideal as distinctive because it is named by two other

me by San Francisco 24+ (2+). comes to signify a void enacted by the
section of chapter and Texas, the destruction of the woods around San-
section of chapter and Texas, the destruction of the woods around San-
section of chapter and Texas, the destruction of the woods around San-
section of chapter and Texas, the destruction of the woods around San-

The text has no meaning but is generated by the symbolic economy of a
class that very land by extramurizing it for the symbolic economy of a
class that very land by extramurizing it for the symbolic economy of a
class that very land by extramurizing it for the symbolic economy of a
class that very land by extramurizing it for the symbolic economy of a

and Texas describes how the work of forgetting destroys the land, in this
and Texas describes how the work of forgetting destroys the land, in this
and Texas describes how the work of forgetting destroys the land, in this
and Texas describes how the work of forgetting destroys the land, in this

I think the introduction a text that the Ithorian's
as an explicit reference to Melvin's dosing sentence, "The sun is blue"
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I think the introduction a text that the Ithorian's
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the same distinction between appearance and reality that founded
impartial undermining American culture is played out. This is, in fact, cited as a text of coherence and loss, a site in which the colonial schemes are the "Hat-making" of a new myth. (19) Again, the land is mythical:

"The poem's recognition of this gap leads to the attempt, in its second part:"

"Perhaps and Texas is, has become the territory is lost."

"May part of the mountainous and streams"

"The bush"

"I lived in a face:

(a) a painter who watched the White Fall"

"Prairie Shan-ten"

"Pine peaks, color, sparkling stream"
mourn, even as it is traced in the text. Thus, in the poem's first section, the
never come back to itself, something that is always subject to distance.
"the myth" this poem owes its identity to the land and something that can
"burning 17" actually marks the elastic between myth and text; "wind and
and word. When its two sections coincide, respectively, the text and
buildings, mountain sheep, and mules. (41)

bears' tracks, bears' brown, mule's horse,
mountains, woods, pine trees, deer, dogs,
mountains, fields, come colored juniper,

who lived then, who left us, doves, quail.
which called, muscle, deep, anadromes,

borders of the alligator's back's
borders of the one-seeded juniper

nutberries, sage god's, shell berries,

honey, honey of the bumblebee,

pineapple cancer, honey of the ground-peak,

mountain plains, wood poples, mesquite.

we shall on them;

Now, I'll also tell what food

tempts to name and directly consume the things of the land:

snared in the following passage from "Hunting" with the poem's at-

Coming Back to Cheekah
The poem "Riptide" opens with this paradox, which lays down language as to obliterate it. Knowledge can enter through the prism of language, to bring the land into worlds other than our own, yet its heart is love, not so easily dismissed in anxiety at America's culture, one near so easily dismissed in the work of ecologic the world of the collection "Mountain Love" (69). In any prism of language, and bring the work of ecology to read the world without being affected by language's mediation. The poet reads the world with Chinese and Japanese poetic models of nature, showing how they still lead to the American landscape. "Mountain Love," Singer explicitly aligns the work of poetry with China and Japanese poetic models of nature, showing how they still lead to the American landscape.

To conclude I want to return briefly to the Riptide collection and to the poem "Mountain Love." The Cloud Ministers are your mind. (45)
I am in recognition the deeply integrated patterns of America's accultura-

tion of the land that the real work of colloquial reading can begin.

As well as things, (32)
all change, in thoughts,
Cursory and sentiment linked here
with common of thee and weight
Cranie: Ignorant
a crack-washed stone
In the thin loan, each rock a word

faceture in the very guns of the real
colloquial lesson of Snyder's poetry he's funnel'd in attention to the
sense and to make familiar our relationship to the object world. The
land. To see the poem as workpiece is to expose the workings of lan-
dence, that which sees the poem as a map, a colored path leading up a
environment of land and poem. Rather, the poem asserts that "rocks"-
The piece of the poem is not, therefore, is over amount to integrate the

(32)
Solitary or blank, like still or well
in space and time:
Before the body of the mind
In choice of place, set
placed solid by hands
Before your mind like rocks
lay down these words

Come back to Oneself
Nature Study (1960),

\[ \text{American Culture (Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1999)} \]

This is the first book-length study of nature to offer anything more than a superficial view of the American environmental movement. The assumption that nature is more than a concept has long been neglected in the literary and cultural history of the United States. To correct this oversight, the author provides a richly detailed account of the ways in which nature has been understood and valued in American thought and practice. The book is divided into three parts: the natural setting, the cultural context, and the future implications. Each part is further divided into chapters that explore specific themes, such as the role of nature in American literature, the relationship between nature and technology, and the implications of nature for contemporary society.

The introduction to this book, which is designed to provide a framework for the study of nature in American culture, is followed by a series of essays that delve into the specific themes and concepts explored in the main text. The essays are written in a clear and concise style, and are supported by a wealth of examples and illustrations. The book is an important contribution to the field of American studies, and is sure to be of interest to scholars and students alike.

The conclusion to the book is equally compelling, and provides a thoughtful and insightful summary of the main arguments and findings presented in the book. The author concludes by arguing that a deeper understanding of the role of nature in American culture is essential if we are to address the complex challenges that face us today. The book is a must-read for anyone interested in American culture and the environment.
Fears are made to speak for themselves, as they were their own occasion. (99) sec.
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22. See Stardust: 15.


13. sec especially xi.

14. "I've always understood that the nature of the image is the nature of the subject..."


16. Also Davidson's sense of subject's potential "endurance and dedication" (107),
Reading under the Sign of Nature
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